
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION (NAVWPNSTA) SEAL BEACH
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD (RAB)
AND COMMUNITY MEETING
July 12, 2000

Participants:

Baghdikian, Serge / Bechtel National, Inc.
Bryant, Wong/CH2M HILL
Clarke, Dean/Orange County Health Care Agency
Dick, Andrew/Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
(SWDIV)
Eells, Brenda/CH2M HILL
Foreman, Kim/Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)
Lamond, Robert
Leibel, Katherine/DTSC
Monroe, Bruce
Schilling, Bob/Bechtel National, Inc.
Smith, Gregg/NAVWPNSTA Seal Beach Public Affairs Officer
Welz, Ed
Willhite, Lindi/RAB Community Co-Chair

WELCOME

At 7:05 p.m., A. Dick opened the meeting by welcoming the participants to the meeting and stating that he was sitting in for Pei-Fen Tamashiro, who was unable to attend the meeting.

PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS

A. Dick, the Remedial Project Manager (RPM) from SWDIV, provided the RAB with a RPM Briefing that he had also provided to his Navy management. The presentation included a summary of background information on himself, discussion of the NAVWPNSTA Seal Beach environmental team, Navy contractors, status of Installation Restoration (IR) sites, important issues, future high-risk removals, and partnering. Copies of the slide presentation were made available as a handout at the meeting. Questions and answers made following the presentation are summarized below:

Question: For Site 70, who is doing the pilot test?

Answer: Bechtel is responsible for the pilot test at Site 70, and they are subcontracting the work to a company called Geo-Cleanse.

Question: One of the handouts (Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach Installation Restoration Program Sites 11 July 2000) has ranking codes. Can you describe what they mean?

Answer: They are ranking codes for the IR sites. H = High; L = Low; M = Medium;
NI = No Ranking

N = No Ranking

REMOVAL SITE EVALUATION (RSE) FOR IRP SITES 4, 5, AND 6

A. Dick introduced B. Schilling, Project Manager from Bechtel National, Inc., who provided the RAB with a presentation of the updated findings, conclusions, and recommendations from the removal site evaluation (RSE) for IRP Sites 4, 5, and 6. Copies of the slide presentation were made available as a handout at the meeting. Questions and answers made during and following the presentation are summarized below:

Question: I thought some plants concentrate chemicals, but you said that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) studies have shown that a 10 percent accumulation factor. How is this so?

Answer: Bioconcentration of contaminants apply only to certain plants. EPA has determined that homegrown produce results in a 10 percent accumulation factor.

COMMUNITY FORUM

A. Dick introduced the Community Forum and asked RAB members if they had any topics to discuss. L. Willhite, Community Co-Chair, said that she would be calling absent members to confirm their desire to continue as RAB members. A. Dick stated that topics to be discussed at the September 2000 RAB meeting include the Site 5 Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA), and the Sites 40 and 70 Pilot Study Work Plan. A. Dick also reminded RAB members there is no RAB meeting in August, so the next RAB meeting will be on September 13, 2000.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 p.m.